UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,775	08/05/2005	Johannes Schroeter	029368.00035	4993
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 12/24/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNEC SUITE 400	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	OCHYLSKI, RYAN M		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,775	SCHROETER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	RYAN OCHYLSKI	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	rause
(a) $oxtime oxtime oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		Jud 30
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: The limitation that the electromagnetic rad			alency bonds of
the polymer would require further search. (See 37		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<del></del>
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Shoot	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 12/16/09	)	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
/Joseph S. Del Sole/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As the proposed amendments are not currently being entered, and the currently-entered set of claims does not require secondary valency bonds to be the bonds to which the radiation is aimed, the affidavit is most with resepct to Final Rejection mailed on September 1, 2009 and therefore does not overcome the currently-applied rejections.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Examiner confirms that, if entered, the Amendments made to Claims 2 and 11 would overcome the previously-made objections thereto, and the Examiner further confirms that the replacement drawing sheet, if entered, would overcome the previously-made drawing objection, and the Examiner still further confirms that the Amendments to the specification, if entered, would overcome the previously-made objections to the specification.